



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,744	11/18/2003	Gota Asano	MAT-8484US	5520
23122	7590	01/12/2010		
RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			EXAMINER DOVE, TRACY MAE	
			ART UNIT 1795	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/12/2010	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 10/715,744
Filing Date: November 18, 2003
Appellant(s): ASANO, GOTA

Lawrence E. Ashery
For Appellant

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This Supplemental Examiner's Answer is in response to the remand of 9/2/09. The additional information requested by the Board is contained in section (10) Response to Argument under the heading "Response to Remand". All other sections of this supplemental Examiner's Answer are identical to the Examiner's Answer dated 4/16/08. As stated on page 8 of the remand, Appellant is authorized to file a Supplemental Reply Brief responding solely to points made in the Supplemental Examiner Answer within TWO MONTHS from the date of the supplemental examiner's answer.

Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not applicable to the TWO MONTH time period set forth above.

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 1/15/2008 appealing from the Office action mailed 7/10/2007 and the Board remand of 9/2/09.

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

6,596,434

Yoshinaka

7/2003

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshinaka et al., (US 6,596,434), in view of admitted prior art in the specification (Figures 4a, 4b and top of page 11).

Yoshinaka teaches a cylindrical alkaline storage battery having a metallic case, a sealing plate for the metallic case and a spiral-shaped group of electrodes. The group of electrodes includes a positive electrode plate, a negative electrode plate and a separator. The sealing plate includes a cap-shaped terminal plate, which includes a cap part and a flange. A disc shaped filter is located on the underside of the flange. The disc shaped filter has a gas venting hole in its center. A space between the metallic case and the rims of the flange and of the filter is sealed with a gasket (abstract). An end part of the positive electrode plate is sticking out of the upper end of the negative electrode plate and connected to the bottom part of the filter (upper current collector) via the lead tab 9. A bottom end of the negative electrode is arranged to stick out of the bottom end of the positive electrode plate and is connected to the bottom part of the battery case via the lead tab 10 (6:24-32). A sealing agent such as asphalt may be applied between the flange 13b of the cap shaped terminal plate 13 and the filter 1 in order to resist leakage of electrolyte (8:10-19). Admitted prior art is noted in figures 4a, 4b and on the top of page 11 of the specification.

Yoshinaka does not explicitly teach a terminal of the upper collector is disposed through a hole in the center of a sealing plate. The single sealing structure of Yoshinaka includes a

sealing plate portion having an open central area and a terminal attached to and protruding from the plate in an equivalent manner as claimed. However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have found the two piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figures 1-5 of Yoshinaka. The terminal of the upper collector is disposed through a hole in the center of a sealing gasket. The battery cap assembly of the claimed invention and the battery cap assembly of Yoshinaka are obvious variants and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same.

Regarding other elements of the claimed invention not specifically disclosed by Yoshinaka, Figures 4a and 4b in the present specification are admitted prior art. Furthermore, Applicant states "other structures are almost the same as those of the conventional alkaline storage battery". It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the structure of Yoshinaka using conventional electrode materials, collectors, connectors and structures known in the art.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han, (US 5,837,396), in view of admitted prior art in the specification (Figures 4a, 4b and top of page 11).

Han teaches a nickel metal hydride secondary battery (alkaline) having a cylindrically wound laminate comprising a positive electrode plate, a negative electrode plate and a separator plate interposed there between (1:12-15). As shown in Figure 2, the battery has a cap assembly

18 the covers an open end of the battery can. The cap assembly includes an upper collector having a hole for addition of electrolyte, a sealing plate having a cap shaped terminal that is adjacent the upper collector and a vent member. An electrode protrusion connects the electrode to a bottom surface of the upper collector.

Han does not explicitly teach a terminal of the upper collector is disposed through a hole in the center of a sealing plate. The single sealing structure of Han includes a sealing plate portion having an open central area and a terminal attached to and protruding from the plate in an equivalent manner as claimed. However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have found the two piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 2 of Han. The battery cap assembly of the claimed invention and the battery cap assembly of Han are obvious variants and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same.

Regarding other elements of the claimed invention not specifically disclosed by Han, Figure 2 in the present specification are admitted prior art. Furthermore, Applicant states "other structures are almost the same as those of the conventional alkaline storage battery". It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the structure of Han using conventional electrode materials, collectors, connectors and structures known in the art.

One would find the modified structure, as claimed, obvious based on the teaching disclosed in the prior art of record.

(10) Response to Argument

Response to arguments with regard to the rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshinaka et al., US 6,596,434 in view of admitted prior art in the specification (Figures 4a, 4b and top of page 11).

Appellant argues that the Examiner has not made the prima facie case of obviousness because the combination of the applied references in the manner proposed by the Examiner does not produce appellant's invention because the following features are missing from the combination: 1) a protrusion, electrically connecting the positive plate and the upper metal current collector; and 2) a terminal of the upper collector disposed through a hole in the center of the sealing plate. Appellant argues the Examiner's assertion that the other elements of the invention not shown by Yoshinaka are admitted prior art is incorrect. Appellant further asserts that the rejection relies on a conclusionary statement that is unsupported by any articulated reasoning.

These arguments are not persuasive. First, the admitted prior art applied as the secondary reference teaches a protrusion, electrically connecting the positive plate and the upper metal current collector (see Figures 4a, 4b.) Further, the Yoshinaka reference teaches a lead protrusion electrically connecting the positive plate 6 and the upper metal current collector terminal. One skilled in the art would have found the use of the connection taught in the conventional prior art in the battery of Yoshinaka obvious as each connection is taught to transfer electricity out of the battery by connecting the electrodes to the battery casing terminals.

Second, the rejection of record addresses the obviousness of the battery structure having a terminal of the upper collector disposed through a hole in the center of the sealing plate.

Yoshinaka does not explicitly teach a terminal of the upper collector is disposed through a hole in the center of a sealing plate. The battery of Yoshinaka includes a sealing plate portion having an open central area and a terminal attached to, and protruding from the plate, in an equivalent manner to the sealing plate and terminal, as claimed. The rejection clearly addresses the structure by showing that the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have found the claimed two piece terminal and sealing plate (as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention) obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figures 1-5 of Yoshinaka because the two claimed parts, the terminal of the upper collector disposed through a hole in the center of a sealing gasket, are welded together to form the same unitary structure as that taught in Yoshinaka. The battery cap assembly of the claimed invention and the battery cap assembly of Yoshinaka are obvious variants and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same because each is required to transfer electricity from the battery by connecting the electron generating electrodes to the battery casing terminals. Thus, the rejection includes a conclusionary statement that is supported by sound reasoning.

Appellant argues that the Examiner's conclusion of obviousness is a conclusory statement that does not detail or analyze the facts upon which it is based. No analysis of the structure shown in Figures 1-5 of Yoshinaka is provided. This is incorrect. The rejection clearly states that the claimed two piece terminal and sealing plate (as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention) obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figures 1-5 of Yoshinaka.

Appellant further argues that, “the person of ordinary skill in the art might be able to determine whether or not the structures contained in appellant's invention, once envisioned, would function in the same manner as those of Yoshinaka. But, to make this determination, the person of ordinary skill in the art must have knowledge of the invention. Consequently, the Examiner's assertion does not explain how or why one of ordinary skill in the art would envision these features in the first place. For this reason, the Examiner's assertion that appellant's invention is an obvious variant of the disclosures of Yoshinaka is a conclusory statement, unsupported by any explicit analysis.” These arguments are not persuasive. The admitted prior art applied as the secondary reference teaches a protrusion electrically connecting the positive plate and the upper metal current collector (see Figures 4a, 4b.) Further, the Yoshinaka reference teaches a lead protrusion electrically connecting the positive plate 6 and the upper metal current collector terminal. One skilled in the art would have found the use of the connection taught in the conventional prior art in the battery of Yoshinaka obvious as each connection is taught to transfer electricity out of the battery by connecting the electrodes to the battery casing terminals. Further, the rejection clearly states that the claimed two piece terminal and sealing plate (as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention) obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figures 1-5 of Yoshinaka.

Response to arguments with regard to the rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han, US 5,837,396 in view of admitted prior art in the specification (Figures 4a, 4b and top of page 11).

Appellant argues that the Examiner has not made the prima facie case of obviousness because the combination of the applied references in the manner proposed by the Examiner does not produce appellant's invention because the following features are missing from the combination: 1) a protrusion, electrically connecting the positive plate and the upper metal current collector; and 2) a terminal of the upper collector disposed through a hole in the center of the sealing plate. Appellant argues the Examiner's assertion that the other elements of the invention not shown by Han are admitted prior art is incorrect. Appellant further asserts that the rejection relies on a conclusionary statement that is unsupported by any articulated reasoning.

These arguments are not persuasive. First, the admitted prior art applied as the secondary reference teaches a protrusion, electrically connecting a positive plate and the upper metal current collector (see Figure 2.) Further, the Han reference teaches a lead protrusion electrically connecting the positive plate and the upper metal current collector terminal. One skilled in the art would have found the use of the connection taught in the conventional prior art in the battery of Han obvious as each connection is taught to transfer electricity out of the battery by connecting the electrodes to the battery casing terminals.

Second, the rejection of record addresses the obviousness of the battery structure having a terminal of the upper collector disposed through a hole in the center of the sealing plate. Han does not explicitly teach a terminal of the upper collector is disposed through a hole in the center of a sealing plate. The battery of Han includes a sealing plate portion having an open central area and a terminal attached to, and protruding from the plate, in an equivalent manner to the sealing plate and terminal, as claimed. The rejection clearly addresses the structure by showing that the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made because one of skill would have found the claimed two piece terminal and sealing plate (as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention) obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 2 of Han because the two claimed parts, the terminal of the upper collector disposed through a hole in the center of a sealing gasket, form the same unitary structure as that taught in Han. The battery cap assembly of the claimed invention and the battery cap assembly of Han are obvious variants and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same because each is required to transfer electricity from the battery by connecting the electron generating electrodes to the battery casing terminals. Thus, the rejection includes a conclusionary statement that is supported by sound reasoning.

Appellant argues that the Examiner's conclusion of obviousness is a conclusory statement that does not detail or analyze the facts upon which it is based. No analysis of the structure shown in Figure 2 of Han is provided. This is incorrect. The rejection clearly states that the claimed two piece terminal and sealing plate (as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention) obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 2 of Han.

Appellant further argues that, "the person of ordinary skill in the art might be able to determine whether or not the structures contained in appellant's invention, once envisioned, would function in the same manner as those of Han. But, to make this determination, the person of ordinary skill in the art must have knowledge of the invention. Consequently, the Examiner's assertion does not explain how or why one of ordinary skill in the art would envision these features in the first place. For this reason, the Examiner's assertion that appellant's invention is an obvious variant of the disclosures of Han is a conclusory statement, unsupported by any explicit analysis." These arguments are not persuasive. The admitted prior art applied as the

secondary reference teaches a protrusion electrically connecting the positive plate and the upper metal current collector (see Figure 2.) Further, the Han reference teaches a lead protrusion electrically connecting the positive plate and the upper metal current collector terminal. One skilled in the art would have found the use of the connection taught in the conventional prior art in the battery of Han obvious as each connection is taught to transfer electricity out of the battery by connecting the electrodes to the battery casing terminals. Further, the rejection clearly states that the claimed two piece terminal and sealing plate (as shown in Figure 1 of the present invention) obvious in view of the single piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 2 of Han.

The previous sections address statements found in section C. "Response to Examiner's Response to Arguments" section. The teachings applied in the rejections are found in the prior art and the following citation is proper: In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). The rejection only takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure. The rejection combines the teachings of the cited prior art with Appellant's admitted

prior art and shows how a structure of two separate components welded together form the equivalent unitary structure taught in Han and Yoshinaka, as applied.

Response to Remand of 9/2/09

1. The Board requested the Examiner verify the remand (1) correctly reproduced claim 1 and that (2) the drawing and other references incorporated therein in brackets are accurate. The Examiner verifies that claim 1 has been accurately reproduced. The drawing and other references incorporated therein in brackets are also accurate.

2. The Board requested the Examiner reproduce claim 1 on appeal and insert therein the drawing numbers or other references to the disclosure of Yoshinaka which correspond to the limitations of claim 1 on appeal. Claim 1 is reproduced below with the information requested by the Board regarding the teachings of Yoshinaka contained in brackets.

An alkaline storage battery (*title; abstract*) comprising:

a cylindrical metal case (*Figure 1; reference numeral 5*), said cylindrical metal case having a bottom (*Figure 1; no reference numeral indicating bottom of metallic case 5*);

a positive plate (*6*) having a protrusion (*9*) projecting out of said positive plate (*6*);

a negative plate (*7*) having a further protrusion (*10*) projecting out of said negative plate (*7*);

a separator (*8*) having insulating properties (*polypropylene recited col.6, line 7-8*);

an upper metal current collector (*1*) for collecting current from a positive electrode side;

a bottom metal current collector (*not explicitly disclosed by Yoshinaka*) for collecting current from a negative electrode side;

an electrolyte (*col. 6, line 40-41 "an electrolyte...is held in the group of electrode plates"*); and

a sealing plate (*AI, BI, CI*) made of a metal with a hole formed at the center (*no hole explicitly disclosed by Yoshinaka*);

wherein: an electrode group is formed by spirally winding said positive plate and said negative plate with said separator interposed between them (*Figure 1; col. 6, lines 20-24*), said protrusion of said positive plate and said protrusion of said negative plate facing mutually opposite directions (*Figures 1-3*);

said electrode group is housed in said metal case (*Figures 1-3*) after joining said protrusion of said negative plate with said bottom metal current collector, and said bottom metal current collector and said bottom of said metal case are joined (*no bottom metal current collector explicitly disclosed by Yoshinaka; Figures 1-3 show protrusion 10 is connected to the bottom the of metal case*);

said protrusion of said positive plate is joined with the bottom surface of said upper metal current collector (*Figures 1-3*);

a terminal of said upper metal current collector is disposed through said hole in the center of said sealing plate, said upper metal current collector and said sealing plate are joined (*Yoshinaka does not explicitly teach the sealing plate and terminal are two separate structures wherein the terminal is disposed through a hole in the sealing plate, but instead teaches the sealing plate and terminal are one single structure. For example, in Figure 1 reference numeral 3b would indicate the sealing plate of the claimed invention and reference numeral number 3a*

would indicate the terminal of the claimed invention; Figures 1-3 show the upper metal current collector 1 and sealing plate A1, B1, C1 are joined); and

a periphery of said sealing plate is hermetically sealed with a gasket (4) at an upper opening of said metal case (shown in at least Figure 1; see also col. 6, lines 49-65).

3. The Board requested the Examiner reproduce claim 1 on appeal and insert therein the drawing numbers or other references to the disclosure of Han which correspond to the limitations of claim 1 on appeal. Claim 1 is reproduced below with the information requested by the Board regarding the teachings of Han contained in brackets.

An alkaline storage battery (*nickel metal hydride secondary batteries disclosed col. 1, line 12-13 are alkaline storage batteries*) comprising:

a cylindrical metal case (*Figure 2; reference numeral 16*), said cylindrical metal case having a bottom (*Figure 2; no reference numeral indicating bottom of metallic case 16*);

a positive plate (10) having a protrusion (*slanted element projecting from wound electrode group 8 in Figure 2*) projecting out of said positive plate (10);

a negative plate (12) having a further protrusion (*not explicitly taught by Han*) projecting out of said negative plate (12);

a separator (14) having insulating properties;

an upper metal current collector (*bottom plate of cap assembly 18 shown in Figure 2;*) for collecting current from a positive electrode side;

a bottom metal current collector (*not explicitly disclosed by Han*) for collecting current from a negative electrode side;

an electrolyte (*col. 3, line 6*); and

a sealing plate (*top plate of cap assembly 18 shown in Figure 2*) made of a metal with a hole formed at the center (*no hole explicitly disclosed by Han*);

wherein: an electrode group is formed by spirally winding said positive plate and said negative plate with said separator interposed between them (*Figure 1 numeral 8; col. 3, lines 1-6*), said protrusion of said positive plate and said protrusion of said negative plate facing mutually opposite directions;

said electrode group is housed in said metal case (*Figure 2; col. 3, lines 1-7*) after joining said protrusion of said negative plate with said bottom metal current collector, and said bottom metal current collector and said bottom of said metal case are joined (*Han is silent regarding the current connection between the negative electrode plate 12 and the bottom of the can 16*);

said protrusion of said positive plate (*shown as slanted element in Figure 2*) is joined with the bottom surface of said upper metal current collector (*Figure 2; bottom plate of cap assembly 18*);

a terminal of said upper metal current collector is disposed through said hole in the center of said sealing plate, said upper metal current collector and said sealing plate are joined (*Han does not explicitly teach the sealing plate and terminal are two separate structures wherein the terminal is disposed through a hole in the sealing plate, but instead teaches the sealing plate and terminal are one single structure. Figure 2 shows a cap assembly wherein the bottom plate with the opening is the current collector and the top plate is the sealing plate having the terminal*);
and

a periphery of said sealing plate is hermetically sealed with a gasket (*not shown by Han*) at an upper opening of said metal case (*Han does not show or describe how the cap assembly 18 is sealed to the can 16*).

4. The Board requested the Examiner reproduce the discussion recited on pages 6-7 of the remand and fill in the appropriate Yoshinaka drawing numbers or other references in the bracketed spaces and answer the "Why's". The discussion and requested information is below.

The single sealing structure of Yoshinaka includes a sealing plate portion [A1,B1,C1] having an open central area [area below element 3a,12a,13a] and a terminal [3,12,13] attached to and protruding from the plate [A1,B1,C1] in an equivalent manner as claimed. [By an equivalent manner, Examiner means the cap assembly (elements 1 and A1 of Figure 1) of Yoshinaka is an equivalent structure to the cap assembly (elements 1, 2 and 8 of Figure 1a) of the claimed invention. The sealing plate and terminal of Yoshinaka is a single structure. For example the sealing plate A1 of Figure 1 has a flat ring section 3b that is equivalent to the claimed sealing plate and a raised terminal section 3a that is equivalent to the terminal of the claimed invention. The single structure of Yoshinaka is equivalent to the two piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 1a of the present invention and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same. It is the Examiner's position that cap assembly (elements 1 and A1 of Figure 1) of Yoshinaka is an equivalent structure to the cap assembly (elements 1, 2 and 8 of Figure 1a) of the claimed invention.] However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have found the two-piece terminal [1,8] and sealing plate [2] as shown in Figure 1a of the present invention obvious in view of the single piece terminal [part 3,12,13 of sealing plate A1,B1,C1]

and sealing plate [A1,B1,C1] as shown in Figures 1-5 of Yoshinaka. The terminal [13] of the upper collector [1] is disposed through a hole [see Figure 1a of present invention] in the center [see middle of sealing plate 2] of a sealing gasket [?? It appears this should recite sealing plate 2 instead of sealing gasket because the claimed "hole" is in the sealing plate]. The battery cap assembly [including elements 1 and A1] of Yoshinaka are obvious variants [structural equivalents] and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same [one of skill would have expected the cap assembly of Yoshinaka to function the same as the cap assembly of the claimed invention because they are structural equivalents. Applicant has not shown any criticality to the two piece terminal 13 and sealing plate 2 (see Figure 1a of present specification) over the single piece sealing plate A1 which includes the terminal 3 (see Figure 1 of Yoshinaka).].

5. The Board requested the Examiner reproduce the discussion recited on pages 7-8 of the remand and fill in the appropriate Han drawing numbers or other references in the bracketed spaces and answer the "Why's". The discussion and requested information is below.

The single sealing structure of Han includes a sealing plate portion [top plate of cap assembly 18 in Figure 2] having an open central area [area between two plates of cap assembly in Figure 2] and a terminal [middle protruding portion of top plate of cap assembly 18 in Figure 2] attached to and protruding from the plate [top plate of cap assembly 18 in Figure 2] in an equivalent manner as claimed. [By an equivalent manner, Examiner means the cap assembly (18 of Figure 2) of Han is an equivalent structure to the cap assembly (elements 1, 2 and 8 of Figure 1a) of the claimed invention. The sealing plate and terminal of Han is a single structure. For example the sealing plate (top plate of cap assembly 18) of Figure 2 has a flat ring section (outer

periphery of top plate of cap assembly 18) that is equivalent to the claimed sealing plate and a raised terminal section (middle protruding portion of top plate of cap assembly 18) that is equivalent to the terminal of the claimed invention. The single structure of Han is equivalent to the two piece terminal and sealing plate as shown in Figure 1a of the present invention and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same. It is the Examiner's position that cap assembly (18 of Figure 2) of Han is an equivalent structure to the cap assembly (elements 1, 2 and 8 of Figure 1a) of the claimed invention.] However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have found the two-piece terminal [1,8] and sealing plate [2] as shown in Figure 1a of the present invention obvious in view of the single piece terminal [middle protruding portion of top plate of cap assembly 18] and sealing plate [top plate of cap assembly 18] as shown in Figure 2 of Han. The battery cap assembly [1,2 and 8 of Figure 1a] of the claimed invention and the battery cap assembly [18] of Han are obvious variants [structural equivalents] and one of skill would reasonably expect them to function the same [one of skill would have expected the cap assembly of Han to function the same as the cap assembly of the claimed invention because they are structural equivalents. Applicant has not shown any criticality to the two piece terminal 13 and sealing plate 2 (see Figure 1a of present specification) over the single piece sealing plate (top plate of cap assembly 18) which includes the terminal (see Figure 2 of Han).].

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

/TRACY DOVE/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Conferees:

/Patrick Ryan/, SPE 1795

/William Krynski/, QAS, TC 1700

A Technology Center Director or designee has approved this supplemental examiner's answer by signing below:

/William Krynski/